

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

IN RE INTEL CORP. MICROPROCESSOR  
ANTITRUST LITIGATION

MDL No. 05-1717-JJF

PHIL PAUL, on behalf of himself and all others  
similarly situated,

C.A. No. 05-485-JJF

Plaintiffs,

CONSOLIDATED ACTION

v.

INTEL CORPORATION,

Defendant.

**UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME AND FOR LEAVE  
TO EXCEED PAGE LIMITATION**

Defendant Intel Corporation, by and through its undersigned counsel, respectfully moves this Court for an Order (1) extending to February 28, 2007, the date for filing its reply brief in support of Intel's Motion to Dismiss the First Amended Consolidated Complaint (the "Motion") (D.I. 307) and (2) for leave, pursuant to Local Rule 7.1.3(a)(4) to file an oversized brief.

1. On October 30, 2006, Intel filed an unopposed motion (D.I. 299) requesting, *inter alia*, that it be permitted to file a memorandum of law in support of the Motion that exceeded the 40-page limit imposed by Local Rule 7.1.3(a)(4). The Court granted Intel's motion and on November 3, 2006, Intel filed a 55-page memorandum in support of the Motion (D.I. 308).

2. On November 10, 2006, Class Plaintiffs filed an unopposed motion for extension of time and for leave to file separate answering briefs (D.I. 317). In their motion, Class Plaintiffs requested, *inter alia*, an extension of time until January 5, 2007

to file their answering memorandum in opposition to the Motion. The Court granted Class Plaintiffs' Unopposed Motion on November 14, 2006 (D.I. 323).

3. On January 4, 2007, Class Plaintiffs filed an Unopposed Motion for Leave to Exceed Page Limitation (D.I. 371), in which Class Plaintiffs requested permission to file an answering memorandum in opposition to the Motion not to exceed 90 pages. The Court granted Class Plaintiffs' Unopposed Motion on January 9, 2007.

4.. On January 5, 2007, Class Plaintiffs filed an 87-page Answering Memorandum in Opposition to Intel's Motion to Dismiss (D.I. 375).

5. Intel believes that, in order to fully reply to the issues presented by the Class Plaintiffs in their oversized answering memorandum, it will be necessary to exceed the 20-page limit. Intel therefore respectfully requests that the Court grant it relief from the page limitations of Local Rule 7.1.3(a)(4) and permit it to file a reply memorandum of no more than 50 pages in support of the Motion. In addition, Intel will require additional time to prepare its reply memorandum and, therefore requests an extension of time until February 28, 2007 to file the reply memorandum.

6. Intel's counsel has conferred with counsel for the Class Plaintiffs and are advised that Class Plaintiffs do not oppose the relief requested herein.

WHEREFORE, Intel respectfully requests that the Court permit it to file a reply memorandum in support of the Motion not to exceed 50 pages on or before February 28, 2007.

OF COUNSEL:

David M. Balabanian  
James L. Hunt  
Christopher B. Hockett  
Nora C. Cregan  
BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP  
Three Embarcadero Center  
San Francisco, CA 94111-4067  
(415) 393-2000

Richard A. Ripley  
BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP  
2020 K Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20006  
(202) 373-6000

Dated: January 18, 2007  
773225/29282

POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP

By: /s/ W. Harding Drane, Jr.  
Richard L. Horwitz (#2246)  
W. Harding Drane, Jr. (#1023)  
Hercules Plaza, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
1313 N. Market Street  
P.O. Box 951  
Wilmington, DE 19899-0951  
(302) 984-6000  
[rhorwitz@potteranderson.com](mailto:rhorwitz@potteranderson.com)  
[wdrane@potteranderson.com](mailto:wdrane@potteranderson.com)

Attorneys for Defendants  
Intel Corporation and Intel Kabushiki Kaisha

SO ORDERED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2007

---

United States District Judge

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, W. Harding Drane, hereby certify that on January 18, 2007, the attached document was hand delivered to the following persons and was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF which will send notification of such filing(s) to the following and the document is available for viewing and downloading from CM/ECF:

James L. Holzman  
J. Clayton Athey  
Prickett, Jones & Elliott, P.A.  
1310 King Street  
P.O. Box 1328  
Wilmington, DE 19899

I hereby certify that on January 18, 2007, I have Electronically Mailed the attached document to the following non-registered participants:

Michael D. Hausfeld  
Daniel A. Small  
Brent W. Landau  
Allyson B. Baker  
Cohen, Milstein, Hausfeld  
& Toll, P.L.L.C.  
1100 New York Avenue, N.W.  
Suite 500, West Tower  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
[mhausfeld@cmht.com](mailto:mhausfeld@cmht.com)  
[dsmall@cmht.com](mailto:dsmall@cmht.com)  
[blandau@cmht.com](mailto:blandau@cmht.com)  
[abaker@cmht.com](mailto:abaker@cmht.com)

Michael P. Lehman  
Thomas P. Dove  
Alex C. Turan  
The Furth Firm LLP  
225 Bush Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94104  
[mplehmann@furth.com](mailto:mplehmann@furth.com)  
[tdove@furth.com](mailto:tdove@furth.com)  
[aturan@furth.com](mailto:aturan@furth.com)

Steve W. Berman  
Anthony D. Shapiro  
Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro, LLP  
1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900  
Seattle, WA 98101  
[steve@hbsslaw.com](mailto:steve@hbsslaw.com)  
[tony@hbsslaw.com](mailto:tony@hbsslaw.com)

Guido Saveri  
R. Alexander Saveri  
Saveri & Saveri, Inc.  
111 Pine Street, Suite 1700  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
[guido@saveri.com](mailto:guido@saveri.com)  
[rick@saveri.com](mailto:rick@saveri.com)

By: /s/ W. Harding Drane, Jr.  
Richard L. Horwitz (#2246)  
W. Harding Drane, Jr. (#1023)  
POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP  
Hercules Plaza, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
1313 N. Market Street  
P.O. Box 951  
Wilmington, DE 19899-0951  
(302) 984-6000  
[rhorwitz@potteranderson.com](mailto:rhorwitz@potteranderson.com)  
[wdrane@potteranderson.com](mailto:wdrane@potteranderson.com)

Dated: January 18, 2007  
728761 / 29282